Design of a novel Mn-based 1 GPa duplex stainless TRIP steel with 60% ductility by a reduction of austenite stability
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Abstract

We report on the microstructure, texture and deformation mechanisms of a novel ductile lean duplex stainless steel (Fe–19.9Cr–0.42Ni–0.16N–4.79Mn–0.11C–0.46Cu–0.35Si, wt.%) stabilized by Mn, C, and N (instead of Ni). The microstructure is characterized by electron channeling contrast imaging (ECCI) for dislocation mapping and electron backscattering diffraction (EBSD) for texture and phase mapping. The material has 1 GPa ultimate tensile strength and an elongation to fracture of above 60%. The mechanical behavior is interpreted in terms of the strength of both the starting phases, austenite and ferrite, and the amount, dispersion, and transformation kinetics of the mechanically induced martensite (TRIP effect). Transformation proceeds from austenite to hexagonal martensite to near cubic martensite (c→e→α'). The e-martensite forms in the austenite with an orientation relationship close to Shoji–Nishiyama. The α'-martensite nucleates at the intersections of deformation bands, especially ε-bands, with Kurdjumov–Sachs and Nishiyama–Wassermann relationships. The ferrite deforms by dislocation slip and contains cell substructures.
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1. Introduction

Duplex stainless steels (DSS) which consist of ferrite and austenite (potentially plus corresponding displacive transformation products) are characterized by an excellent combination of good mechanical properties and corrosion resistance. The new alloy class proposed in this work uses Mn, C, and N (instead of only Ni) to stabilize the austenite (Fe–19.9Cr–0.42Ni–0.16N–4.79Mn–0.11C–0.46Cu–0.35Si, wt.%) [1–5]. Our design strategy aims at an alloying corridor to obtain optimum austenite stability. The motivation for that is twofold. First, the austenite should be sufficiently unstable that a transformation-induced plasticity (TRIP) effect is initiated upon loading. Second, the austenite should be sufficiently stable that the TRIP effect occurs over a wide strain regime, specifically at high strains, where strain hardening reserves are usually more desirable than at low strains. The TRIP mechanism is based on deformation-stimulated displacive transformation of metastable austenite (face centered cubic Fe–Mn–N–C phase) to martensite (metastable or body centered orthorhombic (α') or hexagonal (ε) phase) and the matrix plus martensite plasticity required to accommodate the transformation misfit.

In the new alloy concept Mn not only replaces Ni but also increases the solubility of interstitial elements such as C and N, which promote austenite stabilization and provide additional solid solution strengthening [6]. N also enhances the resistance to localized corrosion and delays sensitization [7,8].

Commercial grade lean DSS (LDX 2101 and 1.4362, ~Fe–22Cr–4Ni–0.3N–0.03C–0.3Mo, wt.%) exhibit a total elongation of up to 30% and ultimate strength levels of up to 600–700 MPa (Fig. 1). As well as these alloys with their limited ductility some studies have addressed improved lean DSS alloys [3,4]. Wang et al. [3] studied a new group of Ni-free, high strength and corrosion resistant DSS. These Ni-free alloys exhibited yield and ultimate
tensile strengths of about 550 and 750 MPa, respectively, at an elongation to fracture of 40%. The materials exhibited excellent resistance to stress corrosion cracking (SCC) in chloride solutions. Wessman et al. [4] studied the effects of the replacement of Ni by Mn and N on the mechanical and corrosion properties in DSS alloys with up to 3 wt.% Mo. They found that the pitting corrosion resistance for the low and Ni-free alloys decreased while the yield stress and tensile stress increased with higher N and Mn contents. The effect of Ni on the mechanical properties was not significant.

The deformation behavior of DSS is complex. The ferrite (α, bcc) deforms by slip due to the high stacking fault energy (SFE) and the numerous slip systems [9,10]. Austenite (fcc) can deform by different deformation modes, such as dislocation slip, mechanical twinning, or martensitic transformations, plus the associated accommodation plasticity (TRIP) [7,11–18]. These deformation mechanisms lead to strong hardening and potentially also to excellent elongation, particularly when the TRIP effect is designed to occur over a wide load regime [12,13]. The latter deformation mode is affected by the austenite stability and the SFE, which both depend on the chemical composition and temperature [14,15]. Decreasing the SFE in the austenitic phase promotes twinning or martensitic transformation (TRIP effect) during deformation [14–18]. When the SFE is low perfect {1 1 1} Shockley partial dislocations dissociate into {1 1 1} lattice dislocations and stacking faults are formed between them. Deformation bands, which result from the collective and accumulated formation and motion of such planar defects, may form as a result of the overlapping of stacking faults on {1 1 1}.

Fig. 1. Overview of total elongation to fracture vs. ultimate tensile strength for different steel grades.
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Fig. 2. EBSD maps: (a) IQ and phase distribution map; inverse pole figure and grain boundary distribution maps of (b) ferrite and (c) austenite of the ductile lean duplex stainless steel (hot rolled plus cold rolled plus heat treated for 5 min at 1050 °C).
planes in the austenite during deformation [12]. The deformation bands can be in the form of ε (hcp) martensite, mechanical twins, or dense stacking fault bundles [12,19,20]. Their formation is controlled by the SFE. A low SFE energy favors the regular overlapping on every second {1 1 1} lattice plane and the formation of ε-martensite. The interaction of various such bands with ε-martensite zones promotes the formation of α′-martensite nucleation [19,21]. The martensitic transformation in these materials hence typically follows the kinetic path γ → ε → α′ martensite [22,23].

The SFE of the austenitic phase in steels is well documented [14,15,24–27]. Schramm and Reed [24] determined that N, Cr, Si, and Mn decrease the SFE, whereas Ni and C increase it. For the austenitic phase of conventional Fe–Cr–Ni stainless alloys the SFE ranges from 10 to 100 mJ m⁻². Reick et al. [28] found that the SFE of a high N Cr–Mn–Ni–Si austenitic stainless steel (Fe–16.5Cr–3Ni–8Mn–2Si–1Cu–0.25N, wt.%) was 16 mJ m⁻². Not much experimental data on the SFE of the austenite phase in duplex stainless steels is available. Humphreys et al. [29] reported that the SFE of the austenite in DSS 1.4462 (Fe–22Cr–5Ni–3Mo–0.15–0.25N) was 10 mJ m⁻². Recently, ab initio SFE predictions were published, although mainly on the Fe–Mn system [14,15].

The objective of this work is to study the deformation mechanisms and the phase transformation behavior of a new type of Mn and N alloyed lean DSS. The concentration of these elements was optimized in order to enhance both strength and ductility through an optimal design of the instability of the austenitic phase with respect to promotion of the TRIP effect up to high deformations. Detailed microstructural characterization by electron channeling contrast imaging (ECCI) for dislocation and twin imaging and electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) for texture and phase maps was performed on tensile deformed samples at room temperature at different strains. The aim is to identify the reasons for the excellent strength and ductility profile observed in this material, exceeding the properties observed so far in other duplex steels.

2. Experimental

A DSS with composition Fe–19.9Cr–0.42Ni–0.16N–4.79Mn–0.11C–0.46Cu–0.35Si (wt.%) was prepared in a laboratory vacuum induction furnace under 200 Mbar Ar pressure. The cast ingot was reheated to 1200 °C for 30 min, hot rolled from 40 to 3 mm, and water quenched. The material was then cold rolled from 3 to 1 mm, followed by recrystallization at 1050 °C for 5 min, and final water quenching.

Interrupted tensile tests were carried out to different strains. Flat tensile specimens were machined parallel to the rolling axis with a cross-section of 1/8 mm and a gage length of 30 mm. Tests were conducted in a Zwick ZH 100 tensile machine at room temperature with a constant cross-head speed, i.e. at an initial strain rate of 8 × 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹.

Samples were examined by ECCI and EBSD using a field emission gun scanning electron microscope.

Samples for microstructural investigations were prepared as longitudinal sections by standard mechanical
grinding and polishing procedures. In the final step samples were electropolished using Struers electrolyte A3 at room temperature (18 V, flow rate of 10 s⁻¹). The EBSD technique was used to determine the phase ratio, crystallographic texture, interface character and substructure characteristics. The EBSD scans were carried out at 15 kV in the middle of the samples at a step size of 100 nm. Additional high resolution EBSD maps were taken at 50 and 30 nm step sizes, respectively. The raw data were post-processed using TSL OIM software. An EBSD map is characterized by its crystallographic orientation, confidence index (CI), and image quality (IQ). The CI is a measure of the reliability of the diffraction pattern indexing. The IQ quantifies the sharpness of the diffraction bands. It can serve as a qualitative measure of crystal perfection in terms of its lattice defect content. Perfect crystal regions have a high IQ (light) while regions with a high defect density (e.g. adjacent to interfaces, severely deformed zones, inclusions, etc.) have a lower IQ (dark) [29]. While identification of the fcc phase (austenite) via EBSD is straightforward, differentiation among the two bcc phases, i.e. ferrite and martensite, is more challenging.

Fig. 4. Deformation microstructure of the new lean duplex stainless steel tensile strained to true plastic strains of (a) 0.1, (b) 0.15 and (c) 0.46. The images were taken using the ECCI method. Initial strain rate $8 \times 10^{-1}$ s⁻¹.
The IQ and CI values have been used to distinguish the two phases in previous works [30,31]: The martensite shows lower IQ and CI values, due to a higher density of lattice defects. The average misorientation of a given point relative to its neighbors is calculated using a orientation gradient kernel approach. Here the kernel average misorientation (KAM) was calculated up to the fifth neighbor shell with a maximum misorientation angle of 2°/C176.

EBSD maps are displayed as phase distribution and IQ (phase plus IQ) maps, phase maps including the boundary character between the phases, inverse pole figure (IPF) maps, and KAM maps of each phase (bcc, i.e. ferrite (α) and α’-martensite, austenite, and ε-martensite).

The recently improved scanning electron microscopy (SEM)-ECCI technique [32–38] is used to image microstructural features that are not well captured by EBSD, such as single dislocations, dislocation substructures (e.g. cells), twins, grain boundaries and deformation bands. The specific advantage of the ECCI method in that context is that it provides a large field of view [37]. The fact that this method works in SEM rather than transmission electron microscopy renders the ECCI approach suitable for large-scale and statistical mapping of microstructures at the grain and subgrain scale [38]. ECCI was carried out in a Zeiss Crossbeam instrument equipped with a Gemini field emission gun (FEG) column at an acceleration voltage of 10 kV and a working distance of 6 mm.

3. Experimental results

Fig. 2 shows the microstructure of the material after thermomechanical processing, consisting of hot rolling, cold rolling, and subsequent recrystallization annealing treatment.

The figure reveals a completely recrystallized “bamboo”-type microstructure for both phases, where the grain boundaries extend perpendicular to the phase boundaries. The morphology of the crystals is inherited from the preceding rolling procedures, revealing the characteristic elongated, alternating layered structure of austenite and ferrite. The austenite exhibits a smaller grain size than the ferrite and contains annealing twins. The ferrite does not show any internal substructure in the EBSD maps and has much larger grains and a more pronounced “bamboo”-type microstructure compared with the austenite. The volume fractions, determined by EBSD, are 37 vol.% ferrite and 63 vol.% austenite. The crystallographic texture of both phases is weak.

The engineering stress–strain curves of the new Mn–N DSS and, for comparison, of a conventional DSS 1.4362 with the exact composition Fe–22Cr–3.6Ni–1.4Mn–0.024C–0.4Cu (wt.%) are shown in Fig. 3a. An increase
in the yield strength from 400 to 500 MPa and of the ultimate tensile strength from 790 to 1020 MPa is observed for the new alloy compared with the conventional one. This improvement is surprisingly accompanied by an increase in the elongation to failure from 50% to nearly 65% for the new alloy. Fig. 3b shows the true stress vs. strain curves together with the strain hardening rates for the two alloys. The true stress–strain curves show a sigmoidal behavior. The strain hardening curve of the new steel displays a multiple stage strain hardening behavior. The first parabolic strain hardening regime monotonically decreases to a strain of about 0.15 where the strain hardening curve assumes a minimum of about 1500 MPa. Beyond this point the strain hardening curve increases with further straining and reaches a level of 2500 MPa at a strain of about 0.35. Then the strain hardening rate decreases rapidly to the intersection with the true stress–strain curve. The strain hardening curve of the reference alloy 1.4362 shows a profoundly weaker strain hardening behavior. In particular, the second strain hardening plateau occurring after the minimum in strain hardening at a true strain of

Fig. 7. Microstructure of the Mn-based duplex stainless steel tensile deformed to a logarithmic strain of 0.15. (a) IQ and phase distribution map; (b) magnification of the area marked in (a) showing the local orientation relationships and the phase distribution map. S–N, Shoji–Nishiyama; K–S, Kurdjumov–Sachs; N–W, Nishiyama–Wassermann.

Fig. 8. EBSD maps of the Mn-based duplex stainless steel tensile deformed to a logarithmic strain of 0.2. (a) IQ and phase distribution, (b) orientation relationships; IPF and KAM maps of (c) bcc phase (ferrite plus ε-martensite), (d) austenite, and (e) ε-martensite. S–N, Shoji–Nishiyama; K–S, Kurdjumov–Sachs; N–W, Nishiyama–Wassermann.
about 0.2 is much weaker than that observed in the new Mn–N alloy. The crystallographic texture and the resulting mechanical anisotropy of the material are weak. Fig. 3c shows that the stress vs. strain and the strain hardening curves of the new alloy taken in three different directions relative to the rolling direction (0°, 45°, and 90°) reveal only minor differences.

The transitions in the strain hardening behavior revealed in Fig. 3b and c result from changes in the deformation mechanisms. Fig. 4 shows the ECCI images of the microstructure evolution of the new Mn–N duplex steel deformed in tension to true strains of 0.1, 0.15, and 0.46 at room temperature. At a low strain and stress level ferrite and austenite reveal differences in their deformation microstructures. The ferrite microstructure is characterized by tangled dislocations and slightly misoriented cells within the original grains. At higher deformations the ferrite microstructure is more heavily deformed and the dislocation density increases. The ECCI images of the austenite grains reveal not only parallel and multiple intersecting deformation bands but also the formation of a cell structure at lower strains. With increasing deformation the density of deformation bands in the austenite increases. At higher strains the shear bands and cells structure in the austenitic crystals cannot be clearly identified any more. A clear differentiation between substructure features, such as twins or ε- and α'-martensite, is only possible by combining the ECCI and EBSD techniques.

Fig. 5 shows the microstructure evolution in terms of superimposed phase plus IQ maps of the new lean DSS strained to 0.1, 0.2 and 0.46 logarithmic strain at room temperature. The three phases, austenite, ε-martensite and the bcc phase (ferrite plus α'-martensite) are represented by a specific color code according to the legend, while the gray values quantify the IQ. The ferrite and α'-martensite, both with a bcc or near-bcc lattice structure (blue), were discriminated using the IQ values. The martensite contains a higher number of lattice defects and thus leads to lower IQ values. At low strain (ε = 0.1) the austenite (green) shows a heterogeneous distribution of the deformation bands, which have a lower IQ factor due to distortion of the lattice. A few of these bands were identified as ε-martensite (red). The α'-martensite was identified due to a low IQ value. It is observed to nucleate in the intersection of the deformation bands, especially at the ε-martensite intersections, or in regions near to annealing twins or grain boundaries. The ferrite contains dislocation cells. Ferrite regions close to α/γ boundaries are more deformed compared with ferrite grain interiors. At the maximum true strain (0.46) almost all of the austenite has transformed into α'-martensite, which shows a very
high dislocation density. This process, i.e. the gradual and nearly complete transformation of the austenite (only 10 vol.% remains) to martensite is an essential ingredient in the optimal strain hardening design of the new material. The ε-martensite at this stage can no longer be identified, as it has also been transformed into α’-martensite. The ferrite is characterized by a heavily deformed microstructure with a pronounced cell substructure.

Fig. 6 shows the volume fraction of austenite measured via EBSD as a function of the true plastic strain. The volume fraction of austenite decreases continuously with increasing strain due to ε- and α’-martensite transformation (TRIP effect). The martensite content reaches a saturation value before the maximum uniform elongation is achieved.

Fig. 7 shows a highly magnified austenite grain and its surrounding matrix. The sample is strained to 0.15. The combined phase plus IQ map (Fig. 7a) reveals that the deformation bands consist of ε-martensite and stacking faults. The ε-martensite is formed by overlapping stacking faults and, therefore, is finely dispersed [19]. It has an orientation relationship close to the Shoji–Nishiyama (S–N) relation, \((\{111\}||\{0001\}e)\) and \([\{101\}||\{1120\}e]\), to the austenite grains [21]. The α’-martensite nucleates in the intersection of the ε-bands and in regions close to it, as has also been observed by other groups in austenitic stainless steels [13,19,21,30,31]. The α’-martensite can assume different morphologies, such as lath or block shapes. The orientation relation between austenite and α’-martensite is characterized by the Kurdjumov–Sachs (K–S), i.e. \((\{111\}||\{110\}α’)\) and \([\{1\ 0\ 1\}||\{1\ 1\ 1\}α’]\), and Nishiyma–Wassermann (N–W) relationships, i.e. \((\{1\ 1\ 1\}||\{0\ 1\ 1\}α’)\) and \([\{1\ 1\ 2\}||\{0\ 1\ 1\}α’]\).

Fig. 8 shows the deformation microstructure of the new alloy deformed to a logarithmic strain of 0.2. Fig. 8a and b shows the phase plus IQ map and the phase distribution containing the grain boundary character. Fig. 8c–e shows the IPF and KAM maps of each phase, namely of the bcc phase (ferrite plus α’-martensite), austenite, and ε-martensite. The KAM maps serve as a measure of the deformation-induced local orientation gradients inside grains. The map of the deformed ferrite (blue) shows a substructure consisting of cells or subgrains. Regions with a low IQ inside the ferrite grains can be defined as dislocation walls. The ferrite crystals exhibit in-grain orientation gradients which are related to the formation of geometrically necessary dislocations and cell formation (Fig. 8c). The KAM map of ferrite shows that the highest local misorientations are located at the α/α and α/γ interfaces and at the in-grain cell boundaries, where high dislocation densities prevail.

Fig. 10. Schematic drawing explaining the effect of the superimposed shear that is created by two intersecting ε-martensite bands. The total distortion imposed on the fcc austenite lattice by the intersecting ε-martensite bands shifts the crystal structure to close to that of α’-martensite.
The austenite (green) in Fig. 8 exhibits a heterogeneously deformed microstructure and deformation bands. Within the austenite grains ε- (red) and α’- (blue) martensite phases were identified. The KAM map (Fig. 8d) shows the local orientation gradients inside the austenite grains. The dislocation density distribution becomes strongest close to the annealing twins, the ferrite/austenite and ε- and α’- martensite/austenite interfaces. The ε-martensite has a close to S–N relationship with the austenite. The α’-martensite grows with K–S and N–W orientation relationships, i.e. (1 1 1)_α|| (0 1 1)_ε and [1 1 0]_α|| [1 1 1]_ε and N–W relationships, i.e. (1 1 1)_α||[0 1 1]_ε and [1 1 2]_α||[0 1 1]_ε [31].

Another important observation regarding the evolution of the substructure and its possible relevance to an understanding of the mechanical behavior is the distribution of the KAM values of the deformed samples (Fig. 11). The

4. Discussion

The plastic response of the Mn-based DSS is characterized by the individual deformation behavior of the four phases γ (austenite), α (ferrite), α’-martensite, and ε-martensite, the deformation-induced transformation from austenite to ε-martensite and further to α’-martensite, and the micromechanical interactions among these phases.

At the onset of loading plastic deformation is first concentrated within the austenitic phase. These grains deform heterogeneously, as is evident from the high density of deformation bands that appear inside the grains and also extend into neighboring crystals (Figs. 5, 8 and 9). In contrast, the ferritic phase, which has a high number of potential slip systems (\{(1 1 1)\}||\{(1 1 0)\} and \{(1 1 1)\}||\{(1 1 2)\}) shows a cell substructure rather than a banded-type morphology. This difference in substructure between austenite and ferrite is also confirmed by direct mapping of the dislocation substructure via ECCI (Fig. 4a). Zones of high deformation accumulation are mainly observed close to the interfaces between the two phases γ and α, and also at the cell walls.

Microstructural characterization reveals that the ε-martensite is distributed heterogeneously along shear zones in the austenite grains (Figs. 5b and 7). The volume fraction of ε-martensite grows with increasing strain, although after formation it is quickly further transformed into α’-martensite. The α’-martensite zones are located at the intersections, particularly of those deformation bands which were indexed as ε-martensite (Fig. 7b).

The ε-martensite has a near S–N orientation relationship, \{(1 1 1)\}||\{(0 0 1)\}_ε and \{(1 0 1)\}||\{(1 1 2)\}_ε, to the austenite (Fig. 10). This orientation relationship is characterized by the coincidence of the close packed fcc and hcp crystal structures [21]. The α’-martensite nucleates in the intersection of the ε-bands and in regions close to it (Fig. 7b). This observation matches previous results in austenitic steels [13,19,21,30,31,39,40]. The orientation relation between austenite and α’-martensite is characterized by the K–S, i.e. (1 1 1)_γ|| (1 1 0)_α’ and [1 1 0]_γ||[1 1 1]_α’ and N–W relationships, i.e. (1 1 1)_γ||[0 1 1]_α’ and [1 1 2]_γ||[0 1 1]_α’ [31].

These results suggest that the austenite transforms to α’-martensite following the sequence γ → ε → α’. This means that a direct relation exists between ε-martensite, aligned along transformed former austenite deformation bands, and the nucleation of α’-martensite inside the crossing points of such ε-bands. This transformation sequence was originally discussed by Olson and Cohen [19,21]. The model suggests that the superimposed shear created by two intersecting ε-martensite bands leads to a total distortion that shifts the original austenite lattice close to the final α’-martensite structure (Fig. 10).

Another important observation regarding the evolution of the substructure and its possible relevance to an understanding of the mechanical behavior is the distribution of the KAM values of the deformed samples (Fig. 11). The
The drop in austenite fraction shows a sigmoidal form due to a function of the true plastic strain (Fig. 6) [45–47]. The volume fraction of austenite, measured via EBSD, as with strain in both phases (Fig. 12). At higher strains the martensite in particular is much stronger than the ferrite. This is mainly attributed to the fact that the austenite accumulates more deformation than the bcc phase, where the martensite in particular is much stronger than the austenite, so that the strain concentrates in the austenite.

The main question now is how these different observations on the substructure and transformation sequence help us to better understand the mechanical behavior of the material, more specifically, its excellent ductility-strength combination compared with conventional DSS alloys (Figs. 3 and 6).

In that context it is important to discuss in more detail the volume fraction of austenite, measured via EBSD, as a function of the true plastic strain (Fig. 6) [45–47]. The drop in austenite fraction shows a sigmoidal form due to the ε- and α'-martensite transformation sequence that occurs with increasing strain, as discussed above. It is important to note that the martensite content reaches a saturation value at around the true strain value, where the maximum uniform elongation is achieved (Fig. 6). This observation indicates that the increase in work hardening rate at intermediate strains is controlled by the formation of strain-induced α'-martensite rather than by the dislocation substructure evolution, as for instance indicated by the change in the average in-grain misorientation pattern in ferrite and austenite.

The strain at which the α'-martensite starts to form at intersecting ε-martensite bands was referred to as transient strain by Stringfellow et al. [48]. In the strain hardening curve (Fig. 6) the inflexion point where the curve changes its behavior from a parabolic to sigmoidal shape marks this transient strain regime, which in the current case is close to 0.15. Even before this transient strain ε-martensite has gradually been formed (Fig. 7b), but does not change the overall strain hardening curve behavior of the material. The volume fraction of austenite starts to decrease significantly at about this strain, as confirmed by Fig. 6.

These observations suggest that the formation of strain-induced α'-martensite primarily promotes the enhancement of both strength and ductility through a TRIP effect.

Additionally the solid solution hardening effect, specifically of N, C, and Mn, plays an important role, at least for the high strength level of the material, since the retained austenite phase (and, hence, also the deformation-induced martensite) in the new alloy contains more of these elements than conventional DSS. In the reference alloy (1.4362) the role of C and N in solid solution strengthening is assumed to be lower than in the new lean alloy, because the austenite design in conventional DSS is achieved by Ni. This element decreases the solubility of the interstitial elements (C and N), while Cr and Mn have an opposite effect and increase their solubility. Altogether this yields a higher interstitial solid solution hardening effect in the new steel.

The main effect of N, C, and Mn, however, lies in their influence on austenite stability, which is dependent on the chemical composition and temperature [22]. Properly designing the stability of the austenite phase against deformation-stimulated transformations is essential. In conventional steels containing unstable austenite displacive transformations (twinning, martensite formation) and the associated strain hardening response via the TRIP or TWIP effects often occur only in the early stages of deformation, where additional hardening is usually not needed and the austenite often only partially transforms into α'-martensite. In contrast, the design strategy in the current case aims at optimizing the corridor for austenite stability according to two bounds. On the one hand, the austenite should be sufficiently unstable to initiate a TRIP effect upon mechanical loading and transform most of its volume into martensite. On the other hand, it should be sufficiently stable that the transformation process extends over a wide stress–strain regime up to higher deformations where additional strain hardening is usually more important than at low strains, as it then provides a more continuous increase in strength during straining.

The stability design of the austenite against deformation-stimulated martensite formation is in the current case essentially achieved via C, N, and Mn alloying (in addition to the minor Ni content). The effect of the different solute elements on austenite stability can be described in terms of the Md₃₀ temperature. Angel [50] defined the Md₃₀ value as the temperature at which half of the austenite is transformed into martensite through a cold-deformation step.
to a logarithmic strain of 0.30 (~35% engineering strain). A low Md$_{30}$ temperature indicates high austenite stability against the formation of deformation martensite. It can be estimated by various empirical equations available in the literature [49–52]. The Md$_{30}$ temperature [50], calculated from Eq. (1), is 64.0 °C for the austenite phase in the new Mn DSS and 28.1 °C for the austenite phase in the conventional reference alloy 1.4362.

$$\text{Md}(\gamma) = 551 - 462(C(\gamma) + N(\gamma)) - 9.2Si(\gamma) - 8.1Mn(\gamma) - 13.7Cr(\gamma) - 29Ni(\gamma) - 29Cu(\gamma) - 18.5Mo(\gamma)$$ (1)

For this purpose the chemical composition of the austenite at 1050 °C was determined for both materials using ThermoCalc version S in conjunction with the TCS Steels/Fe-alloys database v. 6. The stability values predicted by Eq. (1) can only serve as a guideline, since we used the composition of the austenite in the equilibrium (rather than transient) state that ThermoCalc predicts. For this reason we do not use the current equation for quantitative statements but only to identify trends in austenite stability. According to this estimate the reference alloy 1.4362 has the lower Md$_{30}$ temperature, i.e. exhibits higher austenite stability compared with the new Mn-based duplex alloy. This means that the kinetics and completeness of the deformation-stimulated transformation from austenite into martensite and the resulting TRIP effect are better promoted in the new alloy as opposed to the reference alloy.

5. Conclusions

The microstructure and deformation mechanisms of a novel high strength (1 GPa ultimate tensile strength) and highly ductile (>60% elongation to fracture) lean Mn-based duplex stainless TRIP steel were analyzed and discussed (Fe–19.9Cr–0.42Ni–0.16N–4.79Mn–0.11C–0.46Cu–0.35Si, wt.%). The results were compared with those observed for a conventional DSS (LDX 2101, 1.4362, Fe–22Cr–4Ni–4Mo, wt.%). The main observations and conclusions are as follows.

- The ferrite deforms by slip and develops cell substructures.
- The austenite deforms by dislocation glide, deformation banding, and deformation-induced martensitic transformations according to \( \gamma \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \alpha' \). This sequence agrees with the Olson–Cohen model, i.e. nucleation of \( \alpha' \)-martensite occurs at the intersections of deformation bands, especially at intersections of two \( \varepsilon \)-bands or \( \varepsilon \)-bands with twins or grain boundaries.
- The orientation relationship between \( \varepsilon \)-martensite and austenite is close to the S–N relationship, while \( \alpha' \)-martensite and austenite showed K–S and N–W relationships.
- At lower strains \( \alpha' \)-martensite shows a lath morphology, but the structure becomes more irregular with increasing strain.

- The excellent strength–ductility profile of the new material is mainly attributed to the TRIP effect that is associated with the \( \alpha' \)-martensite transformation. The TRIP effect in this material enhances strain hardening over a wide deformation regime and, hence, the ductility of the steel. The specific beneficial effect of Mn, C, and N alloying is attributed to the fact that these elements increase the Md$_{30}$ temperature (64.0 °C) of the new alloy compared with that of conventional duplex stainless steels (28.1 °C). This leads to an easier activation of deformation-stimulated martensite transformation and hence of the TRIP effect and also to more complete and gradual transformation kinetics of the austenite up to higher deformation levels where conventional duplex stainless steels are plastically exhausted and affected by localization and damage initiation.
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