/\* Mechanical terming 
pdat\_twin = 0.0\_pRes)
dgdot\_dtautwin = 0.0\_pRes
/ = 0\_pInt
/ = 0\_pInt

gooe\_strp()) =

DAMASK: <u>D</u>üsseldorf <u>A</u>dvanced <u>MA</u>terial <u>S</u>imulation **K**it: Multi-Physics Crystal Plasticity Simulation of DP Steels

F. Roters, M. Diehl, P. Shanthraj, D. Raabe



Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung

Big thanks to P. Eisenlohr, R. Lebensohn, B. Svendsen, C. Zambaldi, C. Tasan, S. Zaefferer

1\* Derivatives o Igdot\_dtauslip(j (Cabs(gdot\_sli constitutive\_d StressRatio\_pm

Plastic veloc .p = Lp + (1.0,p

!\* Calculation c forall (k=1:3,1= dLp\_dTstar3333 dLp\_dTstar3333

D. Raabe – 18th International Conference on the Strength of Materials (ICSMA18) – July 2018 – Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

## DP steels, design targets



WD 9.5mm

10*µ*m

2

## **DP steels for auto applications**



High UTS Low yield strength High stiffness Sufficient ductility Good formability No Lüders strain Tunable properties Low cost





Increase in strength (e.g. more martensite) reduces ductility

15.0kV

X1.300

## Strain rate 800/s: TWIP vs. DP800









## **Energy absorption depends on material & design**

Collaboration with DFG SFB 761: F. Roters, M. Bambach, G. Hirt, RWTH Aachen / MPI Düsseldorf

## Strain rate 800/s: TWIP vs. DP800

1600

1400

1200

1000

800

600

400

Stress, *in MP*a

**DP800** 

**High UTS** Low yield strength **High stiffness** Sufficient ductility Good formability No Lüders strain **Tunable properties** Low cost



 $W_V = \int_0^T \sigma d\varepsilon \approx \sigma_f \varepsilon_f$ TWIP

Collaboration with DFG SFB 761: F. Roters, M. Bambach, G. Hirt, RWTH Aachen / MPI Düsseldorf





**Digital model** 











https://damask.mpie.de/

Strain map & stress map



Diehl et al. Meccanica 51; JOM 69; Tasan et al. IJP 63 (2014); Tasan et al. Acta Mater. 81 (2014) 386

## Constitutive parameters: FEM & indents



Full-field microstructure simulation based on experimental EBSD







Full-field microstructure simulation based on experimental EBSD





 $P_{11} @ \overline{F}_{11} = 1.01$ 

## Full-field microstructure simulation based on experimental EBSD









1040



## Experimental vs simulation



SE→

IQ+ DIC strain→

 $IQ + CP strain \rightarrow$ 

Roters et al. Procedia IUTAM 3 (2012) 3; Diehl et al. Meccanica 51 (2016) 429; Diehl et al. JOM 69



Issue # 1:
 The world is 3D



## ICME applied to DP steels: 3D effects





3D EBSD: KAM





Roters et al. Procedia IUTAM 3 (2012) 3; Diehl et al. Meccanica 51 (2016) 429

YEARS 1917-20

### FFT polycrystal plasticity solver: fast in RVE





#### Spectral solver, dual phase steel, 23% uni-axial deformation

Microstructure input



Suquet, Moulineque, Lebensohn, Eisenlohr, Roters, Shanthraj, Diehl,...



Spectral solver, dual phase steel, 23% uni-axial deformation



Suquet, Moulineque, Lebensohn, Eisenlohr, Roters, Shanthraj, Diehl,...



#### Spectral solver, dual phase steel, 23% uni-axial deformation



#### Stress distribution

Suquet, Moulineque, Lebensohn, Eisenlohr, Roters, Shanthraj, Diehl,...

## Serial sectioning; full field 3D simulation



v M strain





v M stress



## DAMASK & Dream3D: 3D coupling







# Issue # 2 Real DP steels contain micro-damage



## Damage in DP steels





## Damage modeling in DAMASK



Shanthraj et al. JMPS 99 (2017); Comp. Appl. Mech. Engin. (2016)

## DAMASK: Free multiphysics CP & PF





Roters et al. Acta Mater. 58 (2010) & Procedia IUTAM 3 (2012) 3; Raabe et al. Acta Mater. 50 (2002) 421; Diehl et al. JOM 69 23





## **Results and discussion**

LE, Max. In-Plane Principal SNEG, (fraction = -1.0) (Avg: 75%) +6.197e-01 +5.788e-01 +5.380e-01 +4.971e-01

+4.562e-01 +4.154e-01 +3.745e-01 +3.336e-01 +2.927e-01

+2.519e-01 +2.519e-01 +2.110e-01 +1.701e-01 +1.293e-01





updating



LE. Max. In-Plane Principal
SNEC (fraction = $-1.0$ )
SNLG, (nacion = -1.0)
(Avg: 75%)
+6.197e-01
+5.788e-01
+5.380e-01
+4.971e-01
+4.562e-01
+4.154e-01
+3 745e-01
+3 3369-01
+2.9270-01
+2 5190-01
+2.1100-01
11 7010-01
+1.7010-01
+1.2938-01







LE, Max. In-Plane Principal
SNEG (fraction = $-1.0$ )
(1) = 750()
(AVG: 75%)
+5.990e-01
+5.604e-01
+5 217e-01
14 8200-01
+4.0308-01
+4.4438-01
+4.05/e-01
+3.670e-01
+3.283e-01
+2.896e-01
+2.509e-01
+2 1230-01
11 7260-01
+1.7360-01



## **Results and discussion**



#### Texture evolution during cup drawing



#### From Crystal Plasticity to Deep Drawing





#### **Düsseldorf Advanced MAterial Simulation Kit, DAMASK**

#### DAMASK

Düsseldorf Advanced Material Simulation Kit

Freeware, GPL 3

# Thank you for the attention Funding: ERC, DFG, BMBF Mercedes, Tata, M2I, INPRO

Crystal plasticity & phase field: Mechanics, damage, phase transformation, diffusion, recrystallization, hydrogen

> 20 years of development > 55 man years expertise > 50.000 lines of code Pre- and post-processing Blends with MSC.Marc and Abagus Standalone (FFT) spectral solver Large user community

We train your students



Mises stress (MPa)

Yld91

<stress>

stress 22 / 9

-0.5

-1.5∟ -1.5

-1.0

0.0

stress<sub>11</sub>/ <stress>

0.5

Yld2000-2D

Yld2004-18p Yld2004-27p