The crystalline structure of metals: why does it matter for crystal mechanics ? Dierk Raabe



Düsseldorf, Germany WWW.MPIE.DE d.raabe@mpie.de

Class 2016



class times Friday, 10:15 am – 2 pm at IMM / RWTH

Course Lecturers: Dr. S. Sandlöbes, Prof. R. Svendsen, Dr. P. Shanthraj, Dr. S.-L. Wong, Prof. D. Raabe

Notes at:

http://www.dierk-raabe.com/teaching/

Contact, website and class days



Date / Location	Topics	Lecturer
21. April 2017 IMM / RWTH	Introduction to materials micromechanics, multiscale problems in micromechanics, case studies, crystal structures and defects, relation to products and manufacturing	Raabe
28. April 2017 IMM / RWTH	Discrete and statistical dislocation dynamics, Crystal micromechanics, single crystal mechanics, yield surface mechanics, polycrystal models, Taylor model	Raabe
5. May 2017 IMM / RWTH	Athermal phase transformations in micromechanics	Wong
12. May 2017 IMM / RWTH	Fracture mechanics, Introduction to FEM	Shanthraj
19. May 2017 MPI / Düsseldorf	Micromechanics of polymers and biological (natural) composites	Raabe
26. May 2017 IMM / RWTH	Fatigue of materials	Sandlöbes
2. June 2017 IMM / RWTH	Mathematical micromechanics: Review of elasticity theory	Svendsen
9. June 2017 IMM / RWTH	Volterra dislocation theory	Svendsen
16. June 2017 IMM / RWTH	Dislocations and micromechanics in hexagonal materials	Sandlöbes
23. June 2017 IMM / RWTH	Dislocation interaction modeling	Svendsen
30. June 2017 IMM / RWTH	Partial and extended dislocations	Svendsen
7. July 2017 IMM / RWTH	Peierls-Nabarro disocation theory and dislocation core modeling	Svendsen

What is the connection between ,simple ' structure data and complex dislocation structures?



Body centered cubic (bcc) lattice structure

Why is the crystal lattice relevant for understanding complex dislocation structures?



How frequently do certain crystal structures occur in the PSE?





FCC: Face centered cubic close packed, (a)	Hexagonal close packed (a, c)	BCC: Body centered cubic (a)
Cu (3.6147)	Be (2.2856, 3.5832)	Fe (2.8664)
Ag (4.0857)	Mg (3.2094, 5.2105)	Cr (2.8846)
Au (4.0783)	Zn (2.6649, 4.9468)	Mo (3.1469)
AI (4.0495)	Cd (2.9788, 5.6167)	W (3.1650)
Ni (3.5240)	Ti (2.506, 4.6788)	Ta (3.3026)
Pd (3.8907)	Zr (3.312, 5.1477)	Ba (5.019)
Pt (3.9239)	Ru (2.7058, 4.2816)	
Pb (4.9502)	Os (2.7353, 4.3191)	
	Re (2.760, 4.458)	



Why is the crystal lattice relevant for understanding complex dislocation structures?

Densely packed planes: glide planes; densely packed translation shear vectors: Burgers vectors

Twinning systems

Stacking fault energy: planar dislocation cores, cross slip, recovery, annihilation, Suzuki effect, twinning, strain hardening, stair rod dislocations, reactions

Shockley partial dislocations (*b* = a/6<112>)



Special properties of the 3 main lattice types regarding plasticity defects

FCC: stacking fault energy can vary from very low values (α -Brass- 30 wt% Zn in Cu; TWIP steels: $\approx 20 \text{ mJ/m}^2$) to very high values (AI : $\approx 180 \text{ mJ/m}^2$): Regarding lattice defects in plasticity FCC and HCP is not a 'homogeneous' or unique crystal structure

Hex: hcp or hex?; c/a ratio determines slip systems and twinning: some hex metals are very brittle (Mg) and some are rather ductile (Ti)

BCC: non-close packed planes: pencile glide behavior; multiple slip systems: {110}; {112}; {123}; complex core of dislocation; twinning vs. anti-twinning glide sense





atoms per cell = $(8 \times 1/8) + 1 = 2$

coordination number = 4 + 4 = 8

atomic packaging = 0.68













atoms per cell =
$$(8 \times 1/8) + (6 \times 1/2) = 4$$

coordination number
$$= 4 + 4 + 4 = 12$$

atomic packaging = 0.74









Crystal dislocations: FCC structure



		2
Energy of the two partial dislocations	$= 2G \cdot (a/6 < 112 >)^2 = 2G \cdot a^2/36 \cdot (1^2 + 1^2 + 2^2) = -$	$\frac{G \cdot a^2}{3}$

 $= G \cdot b^2 = G \cdot (a/2 < 110 >)^2$

Energy of the

perfect dislocation





Introduction: dependence of deformation on SFE







- 1. High-Mn TWIP steels
- 2. Weight reduced high Mn high AI steels as quenched
- 3. Weight reduced high Mn high Al steels kappa carbides

Fe-22Mn-0.6C TWIP steel (wt.%)









Gutierrez-Urrutia et al. (2012) Acta Mater. 60, p. 5791, Gutierrez-Urrutia et al. (2011) Acta Mater. 59, p. 6449



- 1. High-Mn TWIP steels
- 2. Weight reduced high Mn high AI steels as quenched
- 3. Weight reduced high Mn high Al steels kappa carbides





Raabe et al (2015) Steel Research International, 86 (10), pp. 1127

Rapid alloy prototyping: Fe-Mn-AI-C steels: not heat treated



Fe-30Mn-8AI-1.2C (wt%), as-quenched, no kappa precipitates



Fe-30.4Mn-8AI-1.2C (wt%), as-quenched, no kappa precipitates



Welsch et al. (2016) Acta Materialia, 116, pp. 188 Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany



- 1. High-Mn TWIP steels
- 2. Weight reduced high Mn high AI steels as quenched
- 3. Weight reduced high Mn high Al steels kappa carbides



Fe-30%Mn-7.7%AI-1.3%C (wt.%) – 10-18% weight reduction





600°C-24h-aged: kappa particle morphology





Analyzing microstructure features using DDD



Formation of slip bands from individual dislocation sources

Dislocation wrapping around κ -carbides

Dislocation pinning due to cross-slip into different conjugate glide plane

8AI-600°C-24h-aged, ϵ =15%, correlative TEM-APT



Crystal structure: FCC



Fe (γ), Al, Cu, Au











Seperate class by Dr. Sandlöbes

Crystal structure: Hexagonal





Miller Indices



vectors and planes for hexagonal materials

G	B e	Gleitebe nen G		Gleitrich- tung g		
t t e r	1 s p i e 1	Тур	Z a h 1	Тур	Z a h 1	Gesamt zahl dei Gleitsy- steme
	Cd Zn Mg <i>Ti</i> _α Be	(0001)	1	[1120]	3	3
h e x	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Cd} \\ \mathrm{Zn} \\ \mathrm{Mg} \\ \mathrm{Ti}_{\alpha} \\ \mathrm{Be} \\ \mathrm{Zr}_{\alpha} \end{array}$	(1010)	3	[1120]	1	3
	Mg Ti _α	(1011)	6	[1120]	1	6



Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany





S. Sandlöbes et al. / Acta Materialia 70 (2014) 92-104

Microstructure Mechanics Dislocation statics

Dierk Raabe



Düsseldorf, Germany WWW.MPIE.DE d.raabe@mpie.de





Boundary condition: determines lab frame constraints



crystal rotation

slip planes



Constraints lead to specific crystal rotations

Non-symmetric dislocation shear leads to rotation

Symmetric-shear can lead to shape change without rotation

Change in local constraints leads to heterogeneity
Kinematics: displacement vector in continuum space











Kinematics: displacement vector in continuum space







$$\underline{u}_{(1)}(x,y,z) \neq \underline{u}_{(2)}(x,y,z)$$



Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany



Distorsions come from gradients in the displacement fields

Displacement vector:

 $\mathbf{u} = [\mathbf{u}_{x}, \, \mathbf{u}_{y}, \, \mathbf{u}_{z}]$

Strain tensor:

$$\varepsilon_{xx} = \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x}$$
$$\varepsilon_{xy} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} \right)_{etc.}$$

Strain tensor: symmetrical part of displacement gradient tensor



The tensor $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i}$ is called **displacement gradient tensor** and may be written as

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} = u_{i,j} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix}$$





The displacement gradient tensor in general is a non-symmetric tensor and can be decomposed into symmetric and antisymmetric part. Hence the displacement is





Strain tensor

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i} \right)$$

In matrix form

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \right)$$

The above strain tensor is called Caushy strain tensor

Displacement gradient tensor: the Cauchy strain



$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i} \right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} & \varepsilon_{12} & \varepsilon_{13} \\ \varepsilon_{21} & \varepsilon_{22} & \varepsilon_{23} \\ \varepsilon_{31} & \varepsilon_{32} & \varepsilon_{33} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} \right) & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_1} \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} \right) & \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_2} \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_3} \right) & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_3} \right) & \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_3} \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany



Rotation tensor

$$\omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(u_{i,j} - u_{j,i} \right)$$

In matrix form

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \mathbf{u} - (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \right)$$

Matrix expression of the strain tensor

$$\varepsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{xx} & \varepsilon_{xy} & \varepsilon_{xz} \\ \varepsilon_{xy} & \varepsilon_{yy} & \varepsilon_{yz} \\ \varepsilon_{xz} & \varepsilon_{yz} & \varepsilon_{zz} \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrix expression of the rotation tensor

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\omega}_{xy} & \boldsymbol{\omega}_{xz} \\ -\boldsymbol{\omega}_{xy} & 0 & \boldsymbol{\omega}_{yz} \\ -\boldsymbol{\omega}_{xz} & -\boldsymbol{\omega}_{yz} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$





Normal strains

$$\varepsilon_{xx} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \quad \varepsilon_{yy} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \varepsilon_{zz} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$$

Shear strains

$$\varepsilon_{xy} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)$$
$$\varepsilon_{xz} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)$$
$$\varepsilon_{yz} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)$$

Engineering shear strains

$$\gamma_{xy} = 2\varepsilon_{xy}, \quad \gamma_{xz} = 2\varepsilon_{xz}, \quad \gamma_{yz} = 2\varepsilon_{yz}$$









"Recipe" :

- take a hollow cylinder, axis along z:

- cut on a plane parallel to the z-axis;

-displace the free surfaces by b in the z-direction.

By inspection:

$$u_{x} = u_{y} = 0$$
$$u_{z} = \frac{b\theta}{2\pi}$$
$$= \frac{b}{2\pi} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)$$

$$\varepsilon_{xx} = \varepsilon_{yy} = \varepsilon_{zz} = \varepsilon_{xy} = \varepsilon_{yx} = 0$$

$$\varepsilon_{xz} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial x} = \frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^2} \frac{y}{x^2}$$

$$= -\frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} = -\frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{\sin\theta}{r}$$

$$\varepsilon_{yz} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial y} = \frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)$$
$$= \frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{y}{x} \right)^2} \frac{1}{x}$$
$$= \frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} = \frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{\cos \theta}{r}$$



Stress field of straight screw dislocation

$$\varepsilon_{xx} = \varepsilon_{yy} = \varepsilon_{zz} = \varepsilon_{xy} = \varepsilon_{yx} = 0$$

$$\varepsilon_{xz} = -\frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} = -\frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{\sin\theta}{r}$$

$$\varepsilon_{yz} = \frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} = \frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{\cos\theta}{r}$$

$$\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{yy} = \sigma_{zz} = \sigma_{xy} = \sigma_{yx} = 0$$

$$\Delta = 0$$

$$\sigma_{xz} = 2G\varepsilon_{xz} = -\frac{Gb}{2\pi} \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} = -\frac{Gb}{2\pi} \frac{\sin\theta}{r}$$

$$\sigma_{yz} = 2G\varepsilon_{yz} = \frac{Gb}{2\pi} \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} = \frac{Gb}{2\pi} \frac{\cos\theta}{r}$$

In Polar coordinates:

(either by direct inspection, or by transforming the strains and stresses from Cartesian co-ordinates)

$$\varepsilon_{\theta z} = \varepsilon_{z\theta} = \frac{b}{4\pi r}$$
$$\sigma_{\theta z} = \sigma_{z\theta} = \frac{Gb}{2\pi r}$$

All other components of the stress tensor are zero.

Note:

- · Stress and strain fields are pure shear
- Fields have radial symmetry
- Stresses and strains are proportional to 1/r:
 - extend to infinity
 - tend to infinite values as r⇒0

Infinite stresses cannot exist in real materials: the dislocation core radius r_0 is that within which our assumption of linear elastic behaviour breaks down. Typically $r_0 \approx 1$ nm.

Summary: infinite straight screw dislocation



$$\underline{u}(\underline{x}) = \left(egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ rac{b}{2\pi} \arctan rac{y}{x} \end{array}
ight)$$

$$\underline{\underline{\varepsilon}}(\underline{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{b}{4\pi}\frac{y}{x^2+y^2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{b}{4\pi}\frac{x}{x^2+y^2} \\ -\frac{b}{4\pi}\frac{y}{x^2+y^2} & \frac{b}{4\pi}\frac{x}{x^2+y^2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\underline{\sigma}}(\underline{x}) = \frac{Gb}{2\pi} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \\ -\frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} & \frac{b}{4\pi} \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Summary: infinite straight edge dislocation

$$u_x = \frac{b}{2\pi} \left(\arctan\frac{y}{x} + \frac{1}{2(1-\nu)}\frac{xy}{x^2 + y^2}\right)$$

$$u_y = \frac{b}{2\pi} \left(-\frac{1-2\nu}{2(1-\nu)}\log\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} + \frac{1}{2(1-\nu)}\frac{y^2}{x^2 + y^2}\right)$$

$$u_z = 0$$

$$\varepsilon_{xx} = \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} = \frac{b y ((3-2\nu) x^2 + (1-2\nu) y^2)}{4 (-1+\nu) \pi (x^2 + y^2)^2}$$

$$\varepsilon_{yy} = \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y} = \frac{-(b y ((1+2\nu) x^2 + (-1+2\nu) y^2))}{4 (-1+\nu) \pi (x^2 + y^2)^2}$$

$$\varepsilon_{xy} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{b x \left(-x^2 + y^2 \right)}{4 \left(-1 + \nu \right) \pi \left(x^2 + y^2 \right)^2}$$



$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{b G y (3 x^2 + y^2)}{2 (-1 + \nu) \pi (x^2 + y^2)^2}$$

$$\sigma_{yy} = \frac{b G y (-x^2 + y^2)}{2 (-1 + \nu) \pi (x^2 + y^2)^2}$$

$$\sigma_{zz} = \frac{b \, G \, \nu \, y}{(-1+\nu) \, \pi \, (x^2+y^2)}$$

$$\sigma_{xy} = \frac{b G x (-x^2 + y^2)}{2 (-1 + \nu) \pi (x^2 + y^2)^2}$$

Microstructure Mechanics Dislocation dynamics

Dierk Raabe



Düsseldorf, Germany WWW.MPIE.DE d.raabe@mpie.de

Dislocations and strain hardening









Dislocation 2 "feels" the stress field of dislocation 1 (and vice versa).

Peach-Koehler Force





 σ_{xy} – produces *glide* force

 σ_{xx} – produces *climb* force

Forces among edge dislocations





So glide force, resolved onto the slip plane, is:

$$F_{glide} = \frac{Gb^2}{2\pi(1-\nu)} \frac{\Delta x(\Delta x^2 - \Delta y^2)}{\left(\Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2\right)^2}$$



$$\begin{split} \sigma_{xx} &= -\mathsf{D}\, y \frac{3 \Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2}{\left(\Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2\right)^2}, \quad \text{with}: \ \ \mathsf{D} = \frac{\mathsf{G}\mathsf{b}}{2\pi(1-\nu)}\\ \sigma_{xy} &= \sigma_{yx} = \mathsf{D}\Delta x \frac{\Delta x^2 - \Delta y^2}{\left(\Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2\right)^2} \end{split}$$





Dislocation 2 "feels" the stress field of dislocation 1 (and vice versa).

$$\sigma_{\theta z} = \sigma_{z\theta} = \frac{Gb}{2\pi r}$$

So force on dislocation 2 from dislocation 1 is:

$$F = \frac{Gb^2}{2\pi r}$$

... but this force acts in the radial direction.

Force on dislocation 2 from dislocation 1, resolved onto the glide plane is:

$$F_{res} = \frac{Gb^2}{2\pi r} \cos\theta$$

Alternatively, we can use the stress field expressed in Cartesian co-ordinates:

$$\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{yy} = \sigma_{zz} = \sigma_{xy} = \sigma_{yx} = 0$$

$$\sigma_{xz} = -\frac{Gb}{2\pi} \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2} = -\frac{Gb}{2\pi} \frac{\sin \theta}{r}$$

$$\sigma_{yz} = \frac{Gb}{2\pi} \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2} = \frac{Gb}{2\pi} \frac{\cos \theta}{r}$$

Note that the shear stress acting to shear atoms paralle to **b** above and below the glide plane is σ_{vz} .

$$F_{res} = \sigma_{yz}b = \frac{Gb^2}{2\pi r}\cos\theta = \frac{Gb^2}{2\pi}\frac{\Delta x}{\Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2}$$





For like Burgers vectors: $\Delta x = \pm \Delta y$: unstable equilibrium $\Delta x = 0$: stable equilibrium

For **opposite** Burgers vectors: $\Delta x = \pm \Delta y$: stable equilibrium $\Delta x = 0$: unstable equilibrium

For a set of "opposite" Burgers vectors:

There are a large number of possible stable



These stable arrangements have minimal *long-range* stress fields.

For like Burgers vectors: Stable array is a planar stack

A low angle tilt boundary.

This arrangement has a strong long-range stress field.



6

Calculate the mutual forces for the following dislocation configurations:

2 parallel edge dislocations (same glide plane)
parallel edge and screw dislocations (same glide plane)
2 parallel screw dislocations (same glide plane)
2 parallel edge dislocations (above each other)
2 anti-parallel edge dislocations (same glide plane)

Write program:

store: stress fields of 2D infinite screw and edge dislocations (along z axis) enter: position (x,y) and Burgers vector b of second dislocation (place first dislocation in origing)



Statistical Dislocation Dynamics





2D – view parallel to dislocation line



2D – view parallel to dislocation line

Some questions:

Difference between edge and screw dislocations?

How to do multiplication?

Dislocation bow-out?

Annihilation?

Climbing?





2D – view into the glide plane



2D – view into the glide plane

Some questions:

Difference between edge and screw dislocations?

Cross-slip?

Climb?

Cutting?

Jog-drag?



2D – view into the glide plane

Some questions:

Difference between edge and screw dislocations?

Cross-slip?																	
•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Climb?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
	0	0	0	0	0	(Ne	ws	lip Pl	ane	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Cutting?	0	0	0	0	6	Drigi	nal	Slip I	lane	0	0	0	0	0	Ərigi	nal S	Shi
	0	0	ō	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	Nev	Sh	pĪ
	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	> (D	0
Jog-drag?	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C) (0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

0

0



2D – view into the glide plane

Some questions:

Difference between edge and screw dislocations?

Cross-slip?

Climb?

Cutting?

Jog-drag?





Dislocation-Dislocation Interactions

Straight dislocation can intersect to leave Jogs and Kinks in the dislocation line

Extra segments in a dislocation line cost energy and require work done by the external force







- The jog has edge character and can glide (with Burgers vector = b₂)
 The length of the jog = b₁
- **\Box** Edge Dislocation-1 (Burgers vector \mathbf{b}_1) is unaffected as $\mathbf{b}_2 \parallel \mathbf{t}_1$.
- □ Edge Dislocation-2 (Burgers vector \mathbf{b}_2) → Jog (Edge character) → Length $|\mathbf{b}_1|$.







- □ Edge Dislocation-1 (Burgers vector \mathbf{b}_1) → Kink (Screw character) → Length $|\mathbf{b}_2|$
- □ Edge Dislocation-2 (Burgers vector \mathbf{b}_2) → Kink (Screw character) → Length $|\mathbf{b}_1|$
- The kinks can glide









Force
$$\vec{F}_a = \left(\underline{\sigma}^{\text{allothers} \to a} \ \vec{b}_a \right) \times \vec{t}_a$$

Force on dislocation 'a' by all others








6

Equilibrium of forces

$$\sum \vec{F_i} = 0$$

$$\sum \vec{F_i} = B\vec{x} + \vec{F_a} = 0$$

$$\vec{F}_a = \left(\underline{\sigma}^{\text{alle} \to a} \vec{b}_a\right) \times \vec{t}_a$$

Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany



Equilibrium of forces



$$F_{disloc} + F_{self force} + F_{extern} + F_{therm} + F_{viscous} + F_{obstacle} + F_{Peierls} + F_{osmotic} + F_{image} + F_{inertia}$$

- F_{disloc} : elastic other dislocations
- F_{self force}: elastic self

*F*_{extern}: external

F_{therm}: Stochastic Langevin

F_{viscous} : viscous drag

*F*_{obstacle}: obstacle

*F*_{Peierls}: Peierls

*F*_{osmotic}: chemical forces

F_{image}: surface forces

*F*_{point defect}: point defects

Example of Discrete Dislocation Dynamics in 2D











▼ ← ?

- 1) Calculate stress field of machine and of all other dislocations at position of T
- 2) Use Peach-Koehler equation to get force on dislocation
- 3) Integrate with very small time step (explicit) viscous eq. of motion







Examples

Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany

Example of Discrete Dislocation Dynamics in 2D





Example of Discrete Dislocation Dynamics in 2D















Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany

Example of Discrete Dislocation Dynamics in 3D: superalloys







WHY Statistical Dislocation Dynamics ?

Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany

- kinetic equation of state
- structure evolution
- coupling to continuum kinematics



Statistical Dislocation Dynamics





Statistical Dislocation Dynamics











Statistical Dislocation Dynamics



kinetics: collective dislocation behaviour

- kinetic equation of state
- structure evolution

$$\frac{d\rho}{d\gamma} = A\rho^+ + B\rho^-$$



coupling to imposed shape change



 $\dot{\gamma} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\gamma}{\mathrm{d}t} = n\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{X}\frac{b}{Z}\frac{1}{\mathrm{d}t} = \rho_{\mathrm{m}}bv$

Microstructure Mechanics Crystal Mechanics

Dierk Raabe



Düsseldorf, Germany WWW.MPIE.DE d.raabe@mpie.de





- Single crystal yield surface
- Empirical yield surface
- Taylor model for the mechanics of polycrystals
- Examples

Yield criterion for single slip: $\sigma_{ij} b_i n_j = \tau_{crss}$

•

• In 2D this becomes
$$(\sigma_1 \equiv \sigma_{11})$$
:

$$\sigma_{11}b_1n_1 + \sigma_{22}b_2n_2 = \tau_{\rm crss}$$



What is the straining direction? The strain increment is given by: $d\varepsilon = \sum_{s} d\gamma^{(s)} b^{(s)} n^{(s)}$

2D case:

 $d\varepsilon_1 = d\gamma b_1 n_1; \ d\varepsilon_2 = d\gamma b_2 n_2$ vector perpendicular to the line for yield



Single crystal plasticity: constructing the yield surface

straining direction in stress space

normality rule for crystallographic slip

Any given stress state can in a crystal in large-strain elasto-plasticity act only in the form of shear (except hydrostatic effects)



Ø 🛞

Active slip system:

 $\tau^{\alpha} = \tau_{\rm crit}$ $\tau^{\alpha} \approx \boldsymbol{T}_{\rm e} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{0}^{\alpha}$ with $\boldsymbol{S}_{0}^{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{m}_{0}^{\alpha} \otimes \boldsymbol{n}_{0}^{\alpha}$

bcc 48 slip systems orientation {001}<100>

12 x {110}<111> - - -

12 x {112}<111> ······

24 x {123}<111> -----





Cube texture component: (001)[100]



Macroscopic – empiricial yield criteria



Yield criterion: determine the critical stress required to cause permanent deformation

Many different macroscopic yield criteria

 σ_{ij} stress acting on a solid σ 1, σ 2, σ 3 principal values of stress tensor Y yield stress of the material in uniaxial tension



Macroscopic yield criteria



Yield criterion: determine the critical stress required to cause permanent deformation

Many different macroscopic yield criteria

 σ_{ij} stress acting on a solid σ 1, σ 2, σ 3 principal values of stress tensor Y yield stress of the material in uniaxial tension











How does that work for <u>bicrystals</u>?

• Two extreme cases :

iso-strain (Taylor)

iso-stress (Schmid)

Iso-stress and iso-strain: general approach









Bounding Case - Isostress

$$\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_{tot}$$

$$\sigma_1 = E_1 \epsilon_1 ; \quad \sigma_2 = E_2 \epsilon_2$$

$$\epsilon_{tot} = f_1 \epsilon_1 + f_2 \epsilon_2 = f_1 \frac{\sigma_{tot}}{E_1} + f_2 \frac{\sigma_{tot}}{E_2}$$

$$E = \frac{\sigma_{tot}}{\epsilon_{tot}} = \frac{1}{\frac{f_1}{\epsilon_1} + \frac{f_2}{\epsilon_2}} = \frac{E_1 E_2}{f_1 E_2 + f_2 E_1}$$

Iso-stress and iso-strain



iso-strain (Taylor-model)



iso-stress (Sachs-model)



6

Sachs Model (previous lecture on single crystal):

- All grains with aggregate or polycrystal experience the same state of stress;
- Equilibrium condition across the grain boundaries satisfied;
- Compatibility conditions between the grains violated, thus, finite strains will lead to gaps and overlaps between grains;
- Generally most successful for single crystal deformation with *stress boundary conditions on each grain*.

Taylor Model (this lecture):

- All single-crystal grains within the aggregate experience the same state of deformation (strain);
- Equilibrium condition across the grain boundaries violated, because the vertex stress states required to activate multiple slip in each grain vary from grain to grain;
- Compatibility conditions between the grains satisfied;
- Generally most successful for polycrystals with strain boundary conditions on each grain.






Taylor model for the mechanics of polycrystals

The Taylor Model







$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{5} \left(n_i^s b_j^s + n_j^s b_i^s \right) \gamma^s$$

Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany



$$\varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{5} \left(n_i^s b_j^s + n_j^s b_i^s \right) \gamma^s$$



plastic spin from polar decomposition

$$\dot{\omega}_{ij}^{K} = W_{ij}^{K} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\dot{u}_{i,j}^{K} - \dot{u}_{j,i}^{K} \right) = \sum_{s=1}^{N} m_{ij}^{\text{asym},s} \dot{\gamma}^{s}$$



The Taylor Model – comparison to Sachs model



External Stress



7

Increasing strain

Small arrows indicate identical stress state in each grain

Each grain deforms according to which single slip system is active

External Strain



Small arrows indicate variable stress state in each grain



Multiple slip (with 5 or more systems) in each grain satisfies the externally imposed strain, *D*





 $\begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 \\ \tau_4 \\ \tau_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11}^{(1)} m_{22}^{(1)} m_{33}^{(1)} (m_{23}^{(1)} + m_{32}^{(1)}) (m_{13}^{(1)} + m_{31}^{(1)}) (m_{12}^{(1)} + m_{21}^{(1)}) \\ m_{11}^{(2)} m_{22}^{(2)} m_{33}^{(2)} (m_{23}^{(2)} + m_{32}^{(2)}) (m_{13}^{(2)} + m_{31}^{(2)}) (m_{12}^{(2)} + m_{21}^{(2)}) \\ m_{11}^{(3)} m_{22}^{(3)} m_{33}^{(3)} (m_{23}^{(3)} + m_{32}^{(3)}) (m_{13}^{(3)} + m_{31}^{(3)}) (m_{12}^{(3)} + m_{21}^{(3)}) \\ m_{11}^{(4)} m_{22}^{(4)} m_{33}^{(4)} (m_{23}^{(4)} + m_{32}^{(4)}) (m_{13}^{(4)} + m_{31}^{(4)}) (m_{12}^{(4)} + m_{21}^{(4)}) \\ m_{11}^{(5)} m_{22}^{(5)} m_{33}^{(5)} (m_{23}^{(5)} + m_{32}^{(5)}) (m_{13}^{(5)} + m_{31}^{(5)}) (m_{12}^{(5)} + m_{21}^{(5)}) \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} \\ \sigma_{22} \\ \sigma_{33} \\ \sigma_{23} \\ \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{12} \end{bmatrix}$





Grains in polycrystals do NOT experience the same boundary conditions.



Differentiate between GLOBAL bounday conditions (tool, process) and the LOCAL (micromechanical) boundary conditions. The latter are influenced by grain-to-grain interactions and local inhomogeneity.



Examples

Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany Roters et al. Acta Materi.58 (2010)

Homogeneity and boundary conditions at grain scale



3%







Raabe et al. Acta Mater. 49 (2001) 3433

Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany Sachtleber, Zhao, Raabe: Mater. Sc. Engin. A 336 (2002) 81

Crystal Mechanics FEM, grain scale mechanics (2D)



Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany



Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany Zhao, Rameshwaran, Radovitzky, Cuitino, Roters, Raabe : Intern. J. Plast. 24 (2008) 17



Numerical Laboratory: From CPFEM to yield surface (engineering)



Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany Kraska, Doig, Tikhomirov, Raabe, Roters, Comp. Mater. Sc. 46 (2009) 383 119





Texture component crystal plasticity FEM for large scale forming









Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany D. Raabe and F. Roters: Intern. J. Plast. 20 (2004) 339

Simulation result: Taylor model





Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany Roters et al. Acta Mater.58 (2010)

Simulation result: RGC scheme





Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany Roters et al. Acta Mater.58 (2010)

Component-specific property mix

Front crash ⇒ Energy absorption



Side crash ⇒ Strength



Strain rate 800/s: compare TWIP steel to DP800





Awareness of impact situation

Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany

Düsseldorf Advanced MAterial Simulation Kit, DAMASK



Iožazici University

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

JFE Gnsto

Crystal plasticity & phase field: Mechanics, damage, phase transformation, diffusion

ibe water

TU/e

> 15 years of development

- > 50 man years of expertise
- > 50.000 lines of code

Pre- and post-processing

Blends with MSC.Marc and Abaqus

Standalone (FFT) spectral solver

Many user groups

http://DAMASK.mpie.de

bime

Mises stress (MPa)



Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung, Düsseldorf, Germany

DAMASK.mpie.de